Case 5:14-cv-05344-BLF Document 494 Filed 08/26/16

Page 1 of 3

2 3 4

1

5

11

12

10

13 14

16 17

15

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

Pursuant to the Northern District of California's Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5(d)-(e), Defendant Arista Networks, Inc. ("Arista") respectfully submits this administrative motion to file under seal documents and information filed in connection with its Reply in Support of its Motion to Strike Expert Opinions and Testimony of Dr. Kevin C. Almeroth.

Arista requests an order granting its motion to seal the following documents:

Document	Portions of Document to be Sealed	Parties Claiming Confidentiality
Defendant Arista Networks, Inc.'s Reply in Support of its Motion to Strike Expert Opinions and Testimony of Dr. Kevin C. Almeroth	Highlighted portions	Cisco and Arista

Arista's Reply in Support of its Motion to Strike Expert Opinions and Testimony of Dr. Kevin C. Almeroth relates to a non-dispositive motion. In the context of non-dispositive motions, the materials may be sealed so long as the party seeking sealing makes a "particularized showing" under the "good cause" standard of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c). Kamakana v. City and Cnty. of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1180 (9th Cir. 2006) (quoting Foltz v. State Farm Mutual Auto Insurance Co., 331 F.3d 1122, 1138 (9th Cir. 2003)). In addition, Civil Local Rule 79-5 requires that a party seeking sealing "establish[] that the document, or portions thereof, are privileged, protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law" (i.e., that the document is "sealable"). Civil L.R. 79-5(b). The sealing request must also "be narrowly tailored to seek sealing only of sealable material." *Id*.

For the portions of the foregoing exhibits that Arista seeks to file under seal, Arista has established good cause to seal those materials through the Declaration of Ryan K. Wong in Support of Arista's Administrative Motion to File Under Seal ("Wong Sealing Declaration"), which is being filed contemporaneously herewith. Also filed concurrently with this Motion are redacted and highlighted versions of the above-referenced documents indicating the specific portions of the documents that Arista is submitting under seal.

The remainder of the material listed above is being submitted under seal pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(e) because Cisco designated the information and documents being discussed in

Arista's Reply brief as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential – Attorney's Eyes Only" under the Stipulated Protective Order in this case. Arista takes no position on whether any of Cisco's designated information should be filed under seal, and with respect to that material, Arista files this administrative motion only to afford Cisco the opportunity to defend its confidentiality designations as provided by Civil Local Rule 79-5(e). Dated: August 26, 2016 KEKER & VAN NEST LLP /s/ Ryan K. Wong By: RYAN K. WONG Attorney for Defendant ARISTA NETWORKS, INC. DEFENDANT ARISTA NETWORKS, INC.'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL

Case No. 5:14-cv-05344-BLF (NC)

Document 494

Filed 08/26/16

Page 3 of 3

Case 5:14-cv-05344-BLF